PCC Received Systember 26, 1996 @ 3:45p.m. Donn a. Bradehaw

ORIGINAL

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS **COMMISSION**

In the Matter of: MM DOCKET No.: 96-169 CHESTER BROADCASTING COMPANY, File No.: BR-950726YG INC. For Renewal of License for Station WGCD(AM) Chester, South Carolina

Volume: 1

Pages: 1 through 14

Place:

Washington, D.C.

Date:

September 17, 1996

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters 1220 L Street, NW, Suite 600 Washington, D.C. (202) 628-4888

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of:) MM DOCKET No.: 96-169
CHESTER BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC.) File No.: BR-950726YG
For Renewal of License for Station WGCD(AM) Chester, South Carolina)))
	Suite 201 FCC Building 2000 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.
	Tuesday, September 17, 1996

The parties met, pursuant to the notice of the Judge, at 9:00 a.m.

BEFORE: HON. JOHN M. FRYSIAK
Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Name of Licensee:

DAN J. ALPERT, Esq. Law Offices of Dan J. Alpert 2120 N. 21st Road Arlington, Virginia 22201 (703)243-8690

On Behalf of Name of FCC:

ROBERT A. ZAUNER, Esq.
Mass Media Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W.
Room 7212
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202)418-1796

 \underline{I} \underline{N} \underline{D} \underline{E} \underline{X}

VOIR WITNESSES: <u>DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS DIRE</u>

None.

Hearing Began: 9:00 a.m. Hearing Ended: 9:20 a.m.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE FRYSIAK: On the record. Good morning,
3	everyone.
4	MR. ZAUNER: Good morning.
5	MR. ALPERT: Good morning.
6	JUDGE FRYSIAK: This is a prehearing conference
7	for Chester, South Carolina, and may we note your
8	appearances on the record?
9	MR. ZAUNER: For the Mass Media do you want to
10	go first, Dan?
11	MR. ALPERT: For Chester Broadcasting Company,
12	Inc., Dan Alpert, Law Offices of Dan J. Alpert.
13	MR. ZAUNER: For the Mass Media Bureau, Robert A.
14	Zauner, and with me this morning is Sharon Donahue who is a
15	clerk in our office, and who has taken the bar and is
16	waiting the results.
17	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Well, what do we have here today?
18	MR. ALPERT: Well, Your Honor, I have only
19	recently begun representing this station, but they have been
20	off the air. They had permission to be off the air for a
21	period of time. They have maintained their equipment. They

They have had a number of plans in the works to get the station back on the air. They do plan to get the

They were surprised that this was designated for hearing.

22

23

24

25

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

thought that they would be entitled to a renewal ultimately.

- 1 station back on the air, and it's my expectation that within
- a timely period of time, we will be able to move for summary
- decision on the matter, to hopefully resolve this and allow
- 4 the station to have its renewal granted.
- 5 MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, I wonder if any
- 6 modification applications would have to be filed in
- 7 connection with putting the station back on the air.
- 8 MR. ALPERT: It is my understanding they will not
- 9 because their site still exists. They own their site.
- 10 Their equipment is maintained, and they intend to go on the
- 11 air at their current location.
- MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, I would just state as is
- 13 stated in the hearing designation order, WGCD-AM suspended
- its operations in July, on July 31st, 1993, so this station
- has been silent now for over three years.
- They promised back in I guess it was '93 that they
- 17 would either sell the station, or if they weren't successful
- in finding a buyer, that they would turn in their license by
- 19 June 30th, 1994. The efforts to find a buyer were
- unsuccessful, and they didn't turn in their license either.
- 21 Also from the hearing designation order, it
- 22 appears that this station's last authorization to remain
- 23 silent expired on March 11th, 1996, so it has now been
- 24 silent without authority for some, I guess close to six
- 25 months.

1	This is a track record that does not speak well
2	for this particular licensee, and the Bureau is going to be
3	very concerned not only with whether or not this station
4	returns to the air, but whether this station has the where-
5	with-all, or whether the licensee has the where-with-all to
6	keep this station on the air for any sustained period of
7	time.
8	We don't believe the issue is met by simply
9	throwing a switch one day, and as soon as you get the
10	license renewed, throwing the switch the other direction the
11	next day, and given this licensee's past record, we're very
12	concerned with this licensee's representations that it will
13	return to the air in a meaningful way.
14	JUDGE FRYSIAK: Well, will the applicant not sell
15	anymore, is that it?
16	MR. ALPERT: There is a possibility that the
17	station well, we can't sell literally until after the
18	renewal application is dealt with. That's Commission
19	policy. It is the licensee that is going to be the
20	applicant before you at all times.
21	So as far as whether there is going to be an
22	option to sell after the renewal is granted, that's one
23	option that's being looked into with a couple of different

would be on the air with its current licensee at the

parties. The expectation would be, though, that the station

24

25

- 1 appropriate time.
- 2 And as far as another comment that Mr. Zauner
- made, you know, based upon conversations I have had with his
- 4 office previously, it was my understanding that we would
- 5 have to make some sort of showing of the ability to stay on
- 6 the air, not only be on the air, as he put it, for a given
- 7 day, that it would be preferential to make a showing that we
- 8 would have the ability to be on the air for a sustained
- 9 period of time so that the Commission could have its
- 10 concerns alleviated, and that is our intention, and that's
- something which I have stressed to my client.
- 12 JUDGE FRYSIAK: Mr. Zauner, if they turn the
- switch before the hearing date, what is, what would be the
- 14 Bureau's position?
- 15 MR. ZAUNER: Well, the Bureau's position is that
- 16 we are concerned that they are able to sustain broadcast
- operations, that this isn't just a gimmick to get them past
- the renewal problem, and as soon as they've got their
- renewal in hand, they go back off the air, and we're stuck
- with another silent station for another year.
- So we would want evidence, and also in light of
- 22 the past lack of diligence in returning the station to the
- 23 air, we don't have, you know, a great deal of confidence in
- 24 their representations that they will be able to stay on the
- 25 air.

- In communications to the Commission, they have
- 2 admitted that they have a lack of knowledge, and I am
- 3 quoting them, for the radio business, and apparently what
- 4 happened was in 1993 when the general manager of the station
- 5 resigned, the station went off the air. The licensees were
- 6 unable to sustain broadcast operations without someone who
- 7 is capable of operating the station.
- 8 We find it a little perhaps even disingenuous now
- 9 to come in at the last minute when they are under the gun
- and say oh, we can put the station back on the air, and we
- can operate it after the record that they've made so far.
- 12 JUDGE FRYSIAK: So what? We proceed towards
- 13 hearing, is that it?
- 14 MR. ZAUNER: I think we have to proceed towards
- 15 hearing. If Mr. Alpert's client wants to file a motion for
- 16 summary decision, that would certainly be something that we
- 17 would, I'm sure, look at.
- JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right. Well, the hearing has
- 19 been scheduled for December 17th.
- MR. ALPERT: I understand that.
- JUDGE FRYSIAK: Do you have any trouble with that?
- MR. ALPERT: I would prefer to move it to January,
- after the holidays, but I can live with December, if
- 24 necessary.
- JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right.

- 1 MR. ZAUNER: I would prefer to move it to January
- 2 also, Your Honor. Right now, I have vacation plans in
- 3 December, but if we're going to file a motion for summary
- 4 decision, there's a good chance that may end up by being
- 5 dispositive one way or the other of this proceeding.
- In fact, most of the silent station cases that I
- 7 know of have been resolved by motion for summary decision
- 8 prior to hearing. There are only two that I know of, that I
- 9 can think of offhand that have actually gone to hearing.
- JUDGE FRYSIAK: Well, it doesn't matter to me, of
- 11 course, one way or the other. We have to be prepared for
- 12 either eventuality, but would the first week in January, if
- we were to go to hearing, would that be acceptable, Mr.
- 14 Alpert?
- MR. ALPERT: That's fine with me.
- JUDGE FRYSIAK: The first week in January. I will
- 17 make it ---
- 18 MR. ALPERT: It would be nice to have a couple of
- 19 days of buffer between New Year's Day and the actual date,
- 20 that's fine.
- JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right, January 6th then. Then
- the hearing will be, the exhibits would have to be filed, I
- 23 will make it December 17th, and witness notification would
- be then January 31st, or rather December 31st.
- Mr. Alpert, what kind of a motion for summary

- 1 disposition would you file? What would be the grounds for
- 2 it?
- MR. ALPERT: It would be based upon the
- 4 circumstances. I mean, I think there are basically two
- 5 issues that are in the case -- one is to what extent the
- 6 period of time, if any, that we have been off the air has
- 7 been in violation of 73.1740 of the rules, and to what
- 8 extent there ever was an intention to be off the air
- 9 permanently which would have put us in violation of 73.1750
- 10 of the rules.
- So I think that as far as, to the extent I know
- for a fact, from my client, that there never was an
- intention to be permanently off the air, I would say, from
- 14 affidavits and that sort of thing. We can dispose of the
- 15 second issue.
- As far as the first issue goes, the question is
- whether, from a legal standpoint, whether or not the rule
- 18 violation would be disqualifying, and then if sufficient
- 19 showing has been made to the staff, I would hope that not
- only are we on the air, but that we have a sufficient means
- 21 to remain on the air. I would hope that the issue
- 22 concerning the renewal of the station can be resolved.
- One thing that is unusual with these cases I think
- in general right now is that, to the extent that licensees
- in general have the ability, or will have their license

- automatically discontinued as of, I believe, February 12th
- of the new legislation that was enacted last year by
- 3 Congress, then ---
- 4 JUDGE FRYSIAK: Yes.
- 5 MR. ALPERT: I mean, that's an automatic thing.
- 6 The Commission has even said they have no means by which to
- 7 waive it. What's interesting though is that with that
- 8 situation, when stations are on the air for one day and
- 9 report to the Commission that they went back on the air for
- one day, the situation Mr. Zauner was talking about, those
- 11 stations which aren't subject to a renewal right now, I
- believe are then given another one year period within which
- to remain automatically off the air.
- Now, whether or not the Commission will be
- policing that in some other way such as these hearings, I
- have no idea, but it is kind of ironic that it has become a
- 17 double standard, I suppose, as far as the amount of scrutiny
- 18 that is going to be placed under the stations that are
- 19 already off the air, versus the stations that will be off
- 20 the air in the future.
- I would hope that there is some sort of
- 22 consideration of that, of the new legislation also within
- 23 any sort of motion for summary decision, as far as weighing
- the merits and equities, for example.
- JUDGE FRYSIAK: Yes, but none of that has any

- 1 bearing on a summary disposition action, does it? Double
- 2 standards?
- MR. ALPERT: Probably not, probably not. I mean,
- I have to research it, but probably not, but I would hope if
- 5 the station is on the air, and if we have the means to show
- 6 that we can reliably, that the Commission could reliably
- 7 predict that we will remain on the air, the people that
- 8 we're talking to are experienced broadcasters with other
- 9 stations that would have the resources to keep the station
- on the air very much in place, and the public interest would
- 11 be to renew the license, and to allow the station to remain
- on the air and serve the public.
- JUDGE FRYSIAK: All right, anything else?
- MR. ZAUNER: The only other thing that I would say
- is that it seems to me that the facts that Mr. Alpert was
- talking about are things that could be done or developed
- 17 rather expeditiously, and I think it would be in everyone's
- interest, if he was going to file a motion for summary
- 19 decision, that he do so, you know, as soon as possible.
- MR. ALPERT: Absolutely.
- MR. ZAUNER: So that we're not down to a hearing
- 22 date exchanging exhibits and that sort of thing, or
- 23 preparing exhibits, and then in comes motion for summary
- 24 decision which would moot the work that we've done.
- JUDGE FRYSIAK: Isn't it essential for you to get

- the station on the air before you file?
- MR. ALPERT: Oh, absolutely, and one matter I was
- 3 going to address with Mr. Zauner afterwards, which is really
- 4 just a little bit of a chicken and egg problem, which is a
- 5 problem but hopefully this can be worked out, is that the
- 6 problem we're going to have, especially in light of some of
- 7 the comments Mr. Zauner made today, was the fact that if
- 8 there's a real threat that even if the station is on the
- 9 air, that there's going to be some sort of opposition to a
- 10 grant of summary decision or renewal.
- 11 That becomes similar to the situation with like
- 12 307's with extensions of time of construction permits. It's
- illogical -- the Commission has recognized that it is
- illogical for people to put a lot of money into building a
- 15 station if the Commission is not going to renew the
- 16 construction permit, and is going to yank the entire
- 17 operation.
- So the trick is going to be to enable potential
- 19 investors and potential buyers of the station, whatever, to
- 20 have enough confidence that their money is being well-spent
- 21 in getting the station on the air, because the station is
- likely to be renewed, and have that confidence so that they
- know that they are not just throwing money down a tube, and
- 24 that's a challenge.
 - JUDGE FRYSIAK: That's true, but the quandary is

- only of the applicant's making.
- MR. ALPERT: Sure, absolutely.
- MR. ZAUNER: And Your Honor, of course, without
- 4 seeing the motion for summary decision, the Bureau can't
- 5 state whether it's going to support or not support it. I
- 6 will tell you, however, that the Bureau has been taking a
- 7 very hard line in connection with these silent station
- 8 cases.
- JUDGE FRYSIAK: Yes. It looks like a Catch-22,
- 10 but who is responsible for it?
- MR. ALPERT: Oh, absolutely, and all I'm hoping
- for is that if, on an informal basis, I contact Mr. Zauner's
- office and I give him a set of facts to find out whether or
- 14 not he believes he could support, or would definitely
- oppose, that he could be candid and open with me so I can
- 16 communicate appropriately to my client, so I could let them
- 17 know whether it's worthwhile to pursue a certain course of
- action or not, and I am hoping that he will be available for
- 19 that.
- JUDGE FRYSIAK: Good luck.
- MR. ALPERT: There's nothing binding, of course.
- JUDGE FRYSIAK: Good luck. All right, if there is
- 23 nothing else, then we stand adjourned until our hearing date
- 24 which is January 6th.
- MR. ALPERT: Thank you.

```
1
                 MR. ZAUNER: Thank you.
                 (Whereupon, at 9:20 a.m., the prehearing
 2
      conference was adjourned.)
 3
 4
      //
 5
      //
 6
      //
 7
      //
 8
      //
 9
      11
      11
10
      //
11
      11
12
      //
13
14
      //
      11
15
      //
16
      //
17
      //
18
     //
19
      11
20
21
     //
22
     //
23
    //
24
     //
     //
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

FCC DOCKET NO.:

96-149

CASE TITLE:

Chester Braodcasting

HEARING DATE:

September 17, 1996

LOCATION:

Washington, D. C.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date:

9-17-96

Official Reporter

Heritage Reporting Corporation

1220 "L" Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Shelley B. Heller

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence were fully and accurately transcribed from the tapes and notes provided by the above named reporter in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date:

9-21-96

Official Transcriber

Heritage Reporting Corporation

Shelley B. Heller

PROOFREADER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the transcript of the proceedings and evidence in the above referenced case that was held before the Federal Communications Commission was proofread on the date specified below.

Date.

9-21-96

Official Proofreader

Heritage Reporting Corporation

Shelley B. Heller